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Caragaphenol A induces reactive oxygen species related apoptosis in human gastric cancer cells
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Abstract: Caragaphenol A (CAA) is a novel resveratrol trimer isolated from the roots of Caragana stenophylla. However, the biological activity of CAA is still unknown. In the present study, we investigated the anticancer effects of CAA on gastric cancer cells. CAA selectively inhibited cell growth of human gastric cancer cells. Moreover, CAA potently induced cell cycle arrest at G2/M phase and apoptosis with the increased intracellular reactive oxidative species (ROS) level. Inhibition of ROS could partially rescue CAA-induced cell apoptosis. Additionally, DNA is not the target of CAA. CAA in combination with DDP or 5FU synergistically inhibited the growth of human gastric cancer cells. Altogether, our study provides the evidence for the potential therapeutic application of CAA on human gastric cancer.
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Introduction

Gastric cancer is the fifth most common cancer and the third leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide with 951,600 new cases and 723,100 deaths per year [1], becoming a great threat to human and public health. Adjuvant chemotherapy is an essential strategy of comprehensive treatment in gastric cancer [2-4]. However, drug resistance exists in gastric cancer cells enormously limits the effectiveness of the chemotherapy. Therefore, it’s urgently necessary to develop a novel therapeutic agent against gastric cancer.

Resveratrol is a polyphenolic compound found in more than 72 plant species (distributed in 31 genera and 12 families) and widely present in edible foods and beverages such as peanuts, mulberries, grapes, and red wine [5], exerting a beneficial influence on multiple diseases including neurodegenerative disease, vascular disease, cardiovascular disease, and aging [6-8]. Recently, lots of researches have been focusing on resveratrol oligomers, derivatives of resveratrol, such as resveratrol dimers, resveratrol trimers and resveratrol tetramers [9]. Caragaphenol A (CAA), a novel resveratrol trimer, is isolated from the roots of Caragana stenophylla [10]. Although multitudinous studies reported resveratrol oligomers exhibit widely biochemical and pharmacological properties on multiple cancer cells [11-19], the biological activity of CAA is still unknown. In the present study, we investigated the anticancer effects of CAA on gastric cancer cells.

Materials and methods

Cells, cell culture, and reagents

Human gastric cancer cell lines BGC-823, MGC-803, MKN-45, SGC-7901, AGS and its 5FU-resistant line AGS-5FU, and normal human gas-
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Figure 1. CAA suppresses the growth of human gastric cancer cells. The chemical structure of CAA (A), the representative growth curves and IC\textsubscript{50} values of the indicated cells treated with CAA (B) were shown. Cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of CAA for 72 h, and cell survival was determined by MTT assay.

Figure 1A

Figure 1B

Figure 1

tric epithelium cell line GES-1 were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin (100 U/ml) and streptomycin (100 ng/ml) in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. AGS-5FU cells were generated by continuous exposure to increasing concentration of 5FU. Doxorubicin (DOX), 5-FU and DDP were ordered from LC Laboratories. N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) and dihydroethidium (DHE) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Methylthiazo-lyldiphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) was from ApexBioTechnology. Propidium iodide (PI) and other chemicals were purchased from Shanghai Sangon Biotech. CAA (Figure 1A) was dissolved in DMSO as the stock concentration of 10 mM. Anti-PARP (9542) antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technologies. Anti-Bcl-2 (SC-492), Anti-Cdk2 (SC-163) and Anti-Cdk4 (SC-260) antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnolo-
gy. Anti-CyclinB1 (610219), Anti-CyclinD1 (554181), Anti-CyclinE (551159), Anti-p27 (610241), Anti-Cdk1 (610037) and Anti-XIAP (61076) antibodies were from BD Biosciences. Anti-Cdk6 (3524-1) antibody was from Abcam. Anti-GAPDH (LK9002T) antibody was from Tianjin Sungene Biotech.

Cell viability assay

Cells were firstly seeded into a 96-well plate at the density of 5000 cells per well, and incubated with drugs in three parallel wells for 72 h. Then 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyltetrazo-
llium bromide (MTT) was added to each well at a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. After incuba-
tion for 4 h, formazan crystals were dissolved in 100 mL of DMSO, and absorbance at 570 nm was measured by plate reader. The concentrations required to inhibit growth by 50% (IC\textsubscript{50}) were calculated from survival curves using the Bliss method [20, 21]. For drug combination experiments, cells were co-treated with different concentrations of CAA and DDP or 5FU for 72 h. The data were analyzed by CompuSyn software with the results showed as combination index (CI) values according to the medianeffect principle, where CI < 1, =1, and > 1 indicate synergism, additive effect, and antagonism, respectively [22, 23].

Cell cycle analysis

Cells were harvested and washed twice with cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS), then fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol for 30 min at 4°C. After centrifugation at 200 x g for 10 min, cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended with 0.5 ml PBS containing PI (50 μg/ml), 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodiumcitrate, and DNase-free RNase (100 μg/ml), and detected by FCM after 15 min incubation at room temperature in the dark. Fluorescence was measured at an excitation wavelength of 480 nm through a FL-2filter (585 nm). Data were analyzed using ModFit LT 3.0 software (Becton Dickinson) [24, 25].

Apoptosis assay

Cell apoptosis was examined with flow cytometry (FCM) assay. Briefly, cells were harvested
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Figure 2. CAA enhances the population of subG1 and G2/M phase in gastric cancer cells. AGS and AGS-5FU cells were treated with CAA for 48 h in the indicated concentrations, and the distribution of cell cycle was detected by FCM with PI staining. The percentages of subG1, G1/G0, S, G2/M phase were calculated using ModFit LT 3.0 software. The protein expression was examined by Western blot after lysing cells, and GAPDH was used as loading control. The representative charts, quantified results (A) and Western blot results (B) of three independent experiments were shown. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 vs. corresponding control.
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Figure 3. CAA induces apoptosis in gastric cancer cells. AGS and AGS-5FU cells were treated with CAA for 48 h in the indicated concentrations, and the apoptosis was detected by FCM Annexin V/PI staining. The proportions of Annexin V+/PI- and Annexin V+/PI+ cells indicated the early and late stage of apoptosis, respectively. The protein expression was examined by Western blot after lysing cells, and GAPDH was used as loading control. The representative charts, quantified results (A) and Western blot results (B) of three independent experiments were shown. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 vs. corresponding control.
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and washed twice with PBS, stained with Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) in the binding buffer, and detected by FACSCaliburFCM (BD, CA, USA) after 15 min incubation at room temperature in the dark. Fluorescence was measured at an excitation wave length of 480 nm through FL-1 (530 nm) and FL-2 filters (585 nm). The early apoptotic cells (Annexin V positive only) and late apoptotic cells (Annexin V and PI positive) were quantified [26, 27].

**Western blot analysis**

Cells were harvested and washed twice with cold PBS, then resuspended and lysed in RIPA buffer (1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 10 ng/ml PMSF, 0.03% aprotinin, 1 μM sodium orthovanadate) at 4°C for 30 min. Lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 x g and supernatants were stored at -80°C as whole cell extracts. Total protein concentrations were determined with Bradford assay. Proteins were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Membranes were blocked with 5% BSA and incubated with the indicated primary antibodies. Corresponding horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were used against each primary antibody. Proteins were detected using the chemiluminescent detection reagents and films [28, 29].

**Reactive oxygen species (ROS) assay**

Cells were incubated with 10 μM of DHE for 30 min at 37°C, washed twice with PBS and immediately photographed under fluorescent microscope (Olympus, Japan). For each well, 5 fields were taken randomly [30, 31].

**DNA binding assay**

One microgram pcDNA3.1 was incubated with the different concentrations of CAA or DOX at 37°C for the indicated time points. After incubation, the reaction mixture was electrophoresed on 0.8% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining [32].

**Statistical analysis**

All results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis of the differ-
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Figure 5. Inhibition of ROS partially rescues CAA-induced apoptosis in gastric cancer cells. AGS and AGS-5FU cells were treated with 10 μM CAA for 48 h in the presence or absence of 5 mM NAC pretreatment for 1 h, stained with DHE and photographed under fluorescent microscope. The apoptosis was detected by FCM with Annexin V/PI staining. The representative micrographs or charts and quantified results (A, B) of three independent experiments were shown. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 versus corresponding control.

Figure 6. DNA is not the target of CAA. One microgram pcDNA3.1 was incubated with the different concentrations of CAA or DOX at 37 °C for the indicated time points. After incubation, the reaction mixture was electrophoresed on 0.8% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The representative micrographs of three independent experiments were shown.

ence between treated and untreated groups was performed with Student’s t-test. Values of P < 0.05 were considered as significant differences.

Results

CAA suppresses the growth of gastric cancer cells

To assess the effect of CAA on gastric cancer cells, human gastric cancer cell lines BGC-823, MGC-803, MKN-45, SGC-7901, AGS and its 5FU-resistant line AGS-5FU, and normal human gastric epithelium cell line GES-1 were treated with increasing concentrations of CAA for 72 h. As shown in Figure 1B, CAA significantly inhibited the growth of these cells in a dose-dependent manner. The IC_{50} values of CAA in BGC-823, MGC-803, MKN-45, SGC-7901, AGS and AGS-5FU cells are 18.62, 12.45, 8.66, 7.18, 5.80 and 6.98 μM, respectively, which is clearly lower than that in normal human gastric epithelium cell line GES-1 44.12 μM. These results suggest that CAA is more cytotoxic to gastric cancer cells than normal gastric epithelium cells. In addition, CAA showed the similar cytotoxic in AGS and 5FU-resistant AGS-5FU cells, indicating that CAA suppresses the growth of not only gastric cancer cells but also their resistant cells.

CAA enhances the population of subG1 and G2/M phase in gastric cancer cells

To examine the effect of CAA on cell cycle distribution of gastric cancer cells, AGS and AGS-5FU cells were treated with CAA (1, 2.5, 5 and 10 μM) for 48 h, stained with PI, and examined by FCM. The cell cycle distribution was calculated using ModFit LT 3.0 software. As shown in Figure 2A, CAA enhanced the population of subG1 and G2/M phase in a dose-dependent manner in both cells. Furthermore, the results of Western blot showed that CAA dose-dependently upregulated the protein levels of CyclinD1, CyclinE and p27 and downregulated the protein levels of CyclinB1, Cdk1, Cdk2, Cdk4 and Cdk6 in both cells (Figure 2B). In conclusion, these results suggested that CAA can induce cell cycle arrest at G2/M phase in human gastric cancer cells.

CAA induced apoptosis in gastric cancer cells

To evaluate whether CAA can induce apoptosis in gastric cancer cells, AGS and AGS-5FU cells were treated with CAA (1, 2.5, 5, and 10 μM) for 48 h, stained with Annexin V/PI, and examined by FCM. As shown in Figure 3A, CAA induced early apoptosis (Annexin V+/PI-) and late apoptosis (Annexin V+/PI+) in a dose-dependent manner in both cells. Moreover, the results of Western blot showed that CAA dose-dependently upregulated the protein levels of cleaved PARP and downregulated the protein levels of XIAP and Bcl-2 in both cells (Figure 3B). Altogether, these data indicated that CAA is able to induce apoptosis in human gastric cancer cells.

CAA stimulates the generation of intracellular ROS in gastric cancer cells

ROS plays a pivotal role in the anticancer effect of most anticancer agents via inducing cell apo-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DDP (μM)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGS</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SFU (μM)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGS</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose CAA</th>
<th>Dose DDP</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGS-SFU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose CAA</th>
<th>Dose SFU</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose CAA</th>
<th>Dose SFU</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGS-SFU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose CAA</th>
<th>Dose SFU</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 7. CAA synergizes with DDP or 5FU to inhibit the growth of gastric cancer cells. AGS and AGS-5FU cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of CAA and DDP (A) or 5FU (B) for 72 h, and cell survival was detected by MTT assay. The data were analyzed by CompuSyn software with the results shown as growth histogram, and CI values.

To measure the impact of CAA on ROS in gastric cancer cells, AGS and AGS-5FU cells were treated with CAA (1, 2.5, 5, and 10 μM) for 12, 24 and 48 h, stained with dihydroethidium (DHE) as ROS fluorescent probe, and examined by microscopy. As shown in Figure 4, the fluorescent intensities of DHE were enhanced in the dose- and time-dependent manners in both cells after CAA treatment, suggesting that CAA can stimulate the generation of intracellular ROS in gastric cancer cells.

Inhibition of ROS partially rescues CAA-induced apoptosis in gastric cancer cells

To further study the role of ROS in CAA-induced apoptosis in gastric cancer cells, AGS and AGS-5FU cells were treated with CAA at 10 μM for 48 h in the presence or absence of the ROS inhibitor NAC at 5 mM pretreated for 1 h. The CAA-stimulated DHE fluorescent signals were obviously decreased by NAC in both cells (Figure 5A). Additionally, the CAA-induced apoptosis were also partially rescued by NAC (Figure 5B), indicating that CAA is able to induce both ROS dependent and independent apoptosis in gastric cancer cells.

DNA is not the target of CAA

DNA is one of common targets of many anticancer drugs. To investigate whether CAA can directly target DNA, we mixed DNA with various concentrations of CAA and the positive control doxorubicin. As shown in Figure 6, CAA could not induce DNA degradation at the concentration up to 25 μM for 6 h, while doxorubicin at 10 μM significantly induced DNA degradation at a dose-dependent manner. These results indicated that DNA is not the target of CAA.

CAA synergizes with DDP or 5FU to inhibit the growth of gastric cancer cells

DDP and 5FU currently are the common chemotherapeutic drugs for gastric cancer in clinic. To test the effect of CAA on the anticancer activity of DDP or 5FU in gastric cancer cells, AGS and AGS-5FU cells were treated with CAA (1, 3 and 10 μM) in combination with DDP (10, 30 and 100 μM) or 5FU (3, 10, and 30 μM), and cell survival was detected by MTT assay. As shown in Figure 7, almost all CI values of both combination were < 1, suggesting that the anticancer effects of combination of CAA with DDP or 5FU is synergistic.

Discussion

In this study, we firstly demonstrated that CAA selectively mediated time- and concentration-dependent anti-growth effects on human gastric cancer cells. The IC50 values after 72 h treatment with CAA were ranged from 5.80 to 18.62 μM in five human gastric cancer cell lines. CAA showed the similar growth inhibition of not only AGS gastric cancer cells but also their resistant cells AGS-5FU. The results of FCM analysis revealed that CAA could enhance the population of subG1 and G2/M phase and induce apoptosis in both AGS and AGS-5FU cells. Furthermore, inhibition of CAA-induced intracellular ROS accumulation by NAC could partially reverse CAA-induced apoptosis. ROS plays a critical role in controlling cell growth, differentiation or death by alteration of the cellular redox condition [35]. Except ROS dependent apoptosis, there are ROS independent apoptosis induced by some stimuli, such as emodin-induced apoptosis in promyeloleukemic cells [36], etoposide-induced apoptosis in B lymphoma cells [37]. Similarly with our study, resveratrol has been reported to induce apoptosis in SGC-7901 human gastric cancer cells with the increased generation of ROS, and co-incubation with superoxide dismutase or catalase decreased resveratrol-induced apoptosis [38]. Resveratrol can also induce apoptosis through ROS-dependent mitochondria pathway in HT-29 human colorectal carcinoma cells and A549 human lung cancer cells [39, 40]. Therefore, ROS may play important roles in resveratrol, CAA or other resveratrol analogues induced apoptosis in cancer cells.

Combination therapy is a routine strategy for gastric cancer chemotherapy, which has significant advantages such as synergistically enhancing the bioavailability against the can-
carcinoma, decreasing toxicity or side effects of agents, and delaying the development of drug resistance [41, 42]. Lots of groups have reported the effects of combination treatment of resveratrol with DDP or 5FU on various cancer models. For example, resveratrol can enhance the anticancer effects of DDP on human non-small cell lung cancer H838 and H520 cells through inducing mitochondrial dysfunction and cell apoptosis [43]. The combination treatment of resveratrol and DDP synergistically induce apoptosis through oxidative mitochondrial damage in malignant mesothelioma MSTO-211H cells [44]. Resveratrol can also potentiate the cytotoxicity of 5FU in HT-29 and SW-620 colon cancer cells [45]. In the murine hepatoma models, resveratrol is able to enhance the antitumor effect of 5-FU in vivo [46]. Our data showed that CAA synergistically enhanced the antitumor effect of DDP or 5FU in both AGS and AGS-5FU cells, suggesting that CAA may be a potential chemosensitizer for gastric cancer chemotherapy. However, the antitumor effects of combination treatment of CAA with DDP or 5FU on gastric cancer in vivo need to be further investigated in the future.

In summary, our study provided the first evidence that CAA potently induce cell growth, cell cycle arrest at G2/M phase and apoptosis with the increased intracellular ROS level in human gastric cancer cells. Moreover, CAA in combination with DDP or 5FU synergistically inhibited the growth of human gastric cancer cells, suggesting this beneficial combinational therapy may provide a promising strategy for the treatment of gastric cancer.
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