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Bioinformatics and co-expression network analysis of differentially expressed lncRNAs and mRNAs in hippocampus of APP/PS1 transgenic mice with Alzheimer disease
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Abstract: APP/PS1 transgenic mice with Alzheimer disease (AD) are widely used as a reliable animal model in studies about behaviors, physiology, biochemistry and histomorphology of AD, but few studies have been conducted to investigate the role of lncRNAs in this model. In this study, IncRNA microarray was employed to detect the gene expression profile and IncRNA expression profile in the mouse brain. Then, bioinformatics was used to predict the differentially expressed genes related to AD (n=20). Among different lncRNAs (n=249), 99 were downregulated and 150 upregulated. Co-expression network was applied to analyze the co-expression of differential IncRNAs and different genes. In network, lncRNA Gm13498 and lncRNA 1700030L20Rik correlated with the most genes and their degrees were 6 and 5, respectively. Then, the function and signal transduction pathways related to the differentially co-expressed IncRNAs were analyzed with bioinformatics, and results showed that these IncRNAs were involved in the systemic development of neurons, intercellular communication, regulation of action potential of neurons, development and differentiation of oligodendrocytes, neurotransmitters transmission, and neuronal regeneration. Realtime PCR was employed to detect the expression of relevant lncRNAs and differentially expressed RNAs in 10 samples, and results were consistent with above findings from microarray.
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Introduction

The pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is very complex and involving several nervous dysfunctions. β-amyloid protein (Aβ) deposition in the brain is a key event in the pathogenesis of AD [1, 2]. The cleavage of amyloid precursor protein (APP) by endogenous beta-secretase may cause the binding between transmembrane segment and extracellular segment to form Aβ42 peptide [3, 4]. Presenilin1 (PS1) has been found to be associated with AD. High expressions of APP and PS1 have been observed in AD [5, 6]. Jackson’s laboratory prepared APP/PS1 transgenic mice via APPA and PS1 co-transfection. These mice at an age of 9-12 months developed behavioral, physiological, biochemical and histomorphological features similar to those in AD patients. To date, these transgenic mice have been widely used in studies about AD worldwide [7].

Long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) refers to non-protein coding transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides and has functions of dosage compensation, epigenetic modification and protein complex backbone [8-10]. LncRNA expression and/or dysfunction are closely related to human diseases. The abnormalities of lncRNAs in their sequence, spatial structure, expression and interaction with proteins have been found to play important roles in the pathogenesis of AD [11-13]. In the present study, IncRNA expression profile was investigated with Agilent Mouse IncRNA Microarray V2.0 in the hippocampus of APP/PS1 transgenic mice with AD.
and wide type C57 mice, and bioinformatics was employed for the analysis of differentially expressed genes. Furthermore, real time PCR was used to validate the expressions of relevant IncRNAs and genes in these mice.

Materials and methods

Ethics, consent and permissions

The Ethics Committee of Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital approved this study, and international guidelines for animal welfare were followed.

Animal grouping and morphological identification

APP/PS1 transgenic AD mice and wide type C57 mice were purchased from the Animal Center of Nanjing, housed in separated cages (n=5 per cage) at 25°C, and given ad libitum access to water and food with 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle. The degenerative neuropathy was evaluated in 12 months-old animals by water maze test. Once behavioral changes were observed, AD mice (n=3) and wide type littermates were randomly selected for the identification by immunohistochemistry. The remaining mice were anesthetized, and then perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde. The brain was collected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde over night. After dehydration in 10%, 20% and 30% sucrose, brain tissues were embedded in OCT and cut into sections. Immunohistochemistry was performed for Aβ (abcam ab2539, CA, USA) and phosphorylated Tau (abcam ab52834, CA, USA).

Morris water maze test

The Morris water maze was 120 cm in diameter and 47 cm in height with a 9 cm platform. The water was 0.5 cm higher than the platform, and the water temperature was maintained at 25.0±0.5°C. Test was divided into hidden-platform acquisition training and probe trial testing. The hidden-platform acquisition training was employed to test the learning and memory of mice in the maze and conducted for 6 days. Before test, mice were allowed to swim freely for 2 min. The hidden platform was placed at the center of a quadrant. The location of this platform remained unchanged in the whole test. Mice were randomly placed in any quadrant with the head forward the wall. When the mouse reached the platform or stayed on the platform for 60 s, the test was stopped. After the mouse reached the platform, it was allowed to stay on the platform for 10 s. If the mouse failed to find the platform within 60 s, the investigator guided the mouse to the hidden platform and stay on the platform for 10 s. Each mouse received training 4 times every day with an interval of 30 min between two trainings. The escape latency (time to reaching the platform) was recorded by reviewing the video. The probe trial testing was employed to evaluate the maintenance of memory. On day 7, the platform was removed, and the mouse was placed in any quadrant and then allowed to swim for 60 s in water. The duration of staying the target quadrant (TQ) was calculated within 60 s.

LncRNA microarray assay

After Morris water maze test, animals were sacrificed, and the hippocampus was collected and grounded. Total RNA was extracted and processed for LncRNA microarray assay (AD: n=3; control: n=3).

Screening of differentially expressed LncRNAs and mRNAs

LncRNAs and mRNAs with fold change of > 2 and with significant differences between them (P<0.05) were defined as differentially expressed genes.

Co-expression network analysis of LncRNAs and mRNAs

The regulation network of LncRNA regulatory genes was analyzed according to the passon correlation coefficient of genes and LncRNAs. The co-expression relationship between LncRNAs and genes could be used to establish the adjacency matrix (A=[a_{ij}]), where a_{ij} refers to the weight of relationship between gene i and LncRNAj) between LncRNAs and genes. In the regulation network, genes were expressed as circles, LncRNA as triangles, and interactions as sides. The network center was expressed as the rank which refers to the contribution of a specific LncRNA to the surrounding genes or the contribution of a specific gene to the surrounding transcription factors. Core LncRNA has the highest rank in the network.
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**GO and pathway analysis**

GO analysis was applied to analyze the main functions of the differential expression genes according to the Gene Ontology which is the key functional classification of NCBI. Generally, Fisher's exact test and \( \chi^2 \) test were used to classify the GO category, and the false discovery rate (FDR) was calculated to correct the P value. The smaller the FDR, the small the error in judging the P value. FDR was defined as

\[
FDR = \frac{1 - \frac{N_t}{N}}{T},
\]

where \( N_t \) refers to the difference between P value of Fisher’s test and the P value of \( \chi^2 \) test. P values for the GOs of all the different genes were calculated. Enrichment provides a measure of the significance of the function: as the enrichment increases, the corresponding function is more specific, which helps us to find those GOs with more concrete function description in the experiment. Within the significant category, the enrichment Re was given by: \( Re = \frac{n_f}{n} / \frac{N_f}{N} \), where \( n_f \) is the number of differential genes within the particular category, \( n \) is the total number of genes within the same category, \( N_f \) is the number of differential genes in the entire microarray, and \( N \) is the total number of genes in the microarray.

Similarly, Pathway analysis was used to find out the significant pathway of the differential genes according to KEGG, Biocarta and Reactome. Still, we turned to the Fisher’s exact test and \( \chi^2 \) test to select the significant pathway, and the threshold of significance was defined by P-value and FDR. The enrichment Re was calculated like the equation above [14-16].

**Real time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from the left hippocampus with 1 ml of Trizol reagent, and genomic DNA was digested with 1 μl of RQ1 DNase buffer. Then, 3 μg of total RNA was used for reverse transcription according to the manufacturer’s instructions (SuperScript II reverse transcriptase; Invitrogen). Real time PCR was conducted with TaKaRa SYBR Premix Ex Taq and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Primars of related genes in realtime PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sequence (5'→3')</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSEN1 Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSEN1 Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REST Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REST Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHIP Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHIP Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNTN2 Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNTN2 Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOC2 Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOC2 Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM13498 Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM13498 Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700030L20RIK Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700030L20RIK Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK038159 Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK038159 Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQ113493 Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQ113493 Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U6 Forward Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U6 Reverse Primer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7500 real-time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primer 5.0 was used for primer design. Related primers are listed in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The latency in Morris water maze test was compared by using t test, and the apoptosis index with chi square test. A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

Identification of APP/PS1 transgenic AD mice

When the mice were 12 months old, these mice were subjected to Morris water maze test. As shown in Figure 1A and 1B, the escape latency was 28.6±8.3 s in wide type group and 43.6±5.4 s in APP/PS1 group, showing significant difference between two groups (\( P < 0.01 \)). It is suggested that the APP/PS1 transgenic mice had learning and memory impairment. In addition, the duration of staying in target quadrant was also markedly different between two groups (46.9±2.8 s in APP/PS1 group and 59.7±3.6 s wide type group). To further confirm the AD in APP/PS1 transgenic mice, 3 mice were randomly selected from each group, and pathological examination of the brain was performed. The brain was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and the brain sections were subjected to immunohistochemistry for Aβ and phosphorylated Tau. As shown in Figure 1C, there was evident for Aβ deposition in the brain of APP/PS1 transgenic mice (red fluorescence), but it was not obvious in wide type Littermates. In addition, the change in phosphorylated Tau (green fluorescence) was similar to that of Aβ deposition.
These indicated that the APP/PS1 transgenic mice aged 12 months might develop AD spontaneously.

**Differentially expressed lncRNAs and differentially expressed genes**

The Mouse lncRNA Microarray v2.0 4x180 K microarray of Agilent G3 platform was used to detect the lncRNA expression profile in two groups, and a total of 249 differentially expressed lncRNAs were identified, of whom 99 had up-regulated expression and 150 displayed down-regulated expression. Then, a heatmap was constructed with these differentially expressed lncRNAs (Figure 2A). In addition, it was also found 209 had up-regulated expression and 242 displayed down-regulated expression (Figure 2A) among 451 differentially expressed genes. These lncRNAs and mRNAs could clearly separate one group from another. Then, the bioinformatics analysis was employed to confirm some important lncRNAs. Their information is shown in Table 2. In addition, the important mRNAs were also analyzed, and their information is shown in Table 3. To validate the changes in above lncRNAs and mRNAs expression, real time PCR was employed to detect their expression in addition samples (n=10). As shown in Figure 2C and 2D, the mRNA expression of Six3, Rest and Shh reduced significantly in AD mice as compared with wild type mice (expression ratio of AD mice to wild type mice: 0.04±0.03, 0.08±0.03 and 0.4±0.14, respectively; P<0.01 or 0.05), but the mRNA expression of Apoc2, Klf5 and Mef2c increased markedly in AD mice as compared with wild type mice (ratio: 2.48±0.72, 2.63±0.31 and 2.83±0.58, respectively; P<0.01 or 0.05). In addition, real time PCR was also performed to detect the expression of lncRNA Gm13498, DQ113493, AK038159 and 1700-030L20Rik, and results were similar to those from microarray (ratio: 2.87±0.8, 0.36±0.1, 0.24±0.03 and 2.75±0.96, respectively).

**Coexpression analysis of lncRNAs and AD related genes**

According to the passon correlation coefficient between lncRNAs and AD related genes, lncRNAs with a coefficient of > ±0.95 were used to construct regulation network of lncRNA regulatory genes. As shown in Figure 3, the number of genes coexpressed with REST gene
was the largest, the degree was 15 and they were the most important genes in the network. In the network, IncRNA Gm13498 and IncRNA 1700030L20Rik had the widest relation with other genes and the degree was 6 and 5, respectively. In the figure, triangle referred to IncRNA, circle to AD related genes, yellow to down-regulation in wide type mice, red to up-regulation in wide type mice, the solid line to the positive relationship and the dotted line to the negative relationship.

**Functional prediction of differentially expressed IncRNAs**

IncRNAs belong to non-coding RNA SIMILAR TO miRNA. They may affect the expression or activity of proteins via regulating target genes to regulate the biological processes. However, the ways in which IncRNA regulates target genes are different from that of miRNA, and several ways have been identified for IncRNA regulating target genes. Of note, the IncRNA and its target genes may form the co-expression relationship. Thus, the functions and pathways of genes that are co-expressed with differentially expressed IncRNA are helpful for the investigation of the potential functions and pathways of these IncRNA. The co-expressed genes were subjected to GO and pathway analysis (Figure 4). Results showed that the GO of genes with downregulation mainly related to the nervous system development, axon ensheathment, cell communication, oligodendro-
cyte development, myelination, oligodendrocyte differentiation, transmission of nerve impulse, glial cell development, neurogenesis, generation of neurons, regulation of nervous system development, cellular homeostasis, neuron differentiation, positive regulation of neurogenesis, and glial cell differentiation, and GO of genes with upregulation were mainly associated with cell communication, vasculature development, lipid metabolic process and others. In addition, the pathways related to genes with down-regulated expression included neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, cell communication, glycine, serine and threonine metabolism, gap junction, ECM-receptor interaction, MAPK signaling pathway, axon guidance, Wnt signaling pathway and regulation of actin cytoskeleton, and those of genes with up-regulated expression mainly included focal adhesion, cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, calcium signaling pathway, MAPK signaling pathway, ECM-receptor interaction, and MAPK signaling pathway.

Discussion

In the present study, APP/PS1 transgenic mice with AD and wide type CD57 mice matched in age were used, and Morris water maze test was conducted to examine the learning and memory of these mice, aiming to evaluate the presence of degenerative neurological diseases. Results showed that the latency to finding the platform was 28.6±8.3 s in wide type mice and 43.6±5.4 s in AD mice, suggesting the compromised memory in AD mice. It was suggested that APP/PS1 mice with AD spontaneously develop AD at the age of 12 months, which was consistent with previously reported [17, 18].
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A. Down regulation
   - nervous system development
   - axon ensheathment
   - cell communication
   - oligodendrocyte development
   - myelination
   - oligodendrocyte differentiation
   - transmission of nerve impulse
   - glial cell development
   - neurogenesis
   - generation of neurons
   - regulation of nervous system development
   - cellular homeostasis
   - neuron differentiation
   - positive regulation of neurogenesis
   - glial cell differentiation
   - positive regulation of cell differentiation
   - cell morphogenesis involved in neuron...
   - gliogenesis
   - myelination in the central nervous system

B. Up regulation
   - anatomical structure morphogenesis
   - regulation of hormone levels
   - cell communication
   - cellular developmental process
   - vasculature development
   - lipid metabolic process
   - positive regulation of catalytic activity
   - intracellular signaling cascade
   - central nervous system development
   - cellular lipid metabolic process
   - regulation of lipase activity
   - protein kinase cascade
   - blood vessel development
   - phosphoinositide-mediated signaling
   - regulation of molecular function
   - cell motion
   - isoprenoid metabolic process
   - second-messenger-mediated signaling
   - positive regulation of gene expression
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Bioinformatics analysis was employed to find AD related genes among the differentially expressed genes. These genes were mainly related to the neuronal development, intercellular communication, neuronal action potential, development and differentiation of oligodendrocytes, transduction of neurotransmitters, neuronal regeneration and lipid metabolism, which have been found to be closely related to the progression of AD. There is evidence showing that Rest gene plays a negative regulatory role in the gene expression during the neuronal development and can regulate the expressions of some neurons related cytokines and affect the differentiation of neuronal stem cells [19-21]. Shh gene encodes Shh peptide and can activate Shh signaling pathway, exerting neuroprotective effect via downstream transcription factor Gli to promote synaptic regeneration and reconstruction and recovery of neurofunction [22]. Apoc2 is a member of ApoE family. Some studies showed that Apoc2 mutation was highly related to AD, and Apoc2 could affect the AD progression via oxidative stress pathway [23]. By using GWAS, Blennow et al found that genes including Mef2c played important roles in the progression of AD [24].

LncRNAs refer to non-encoding RNA longer than 200 nucleotides. Previously, IncRNA were regarded garbage fragments in the genome. In recent years, studies reveal that IncRNA are not garbage fragments in cells, but play crucial roles in the biological evolution, embryonic development, cell metabolism, cell differentiation and tumorgenesis [13, 25]. The IncRNA expression is temporally and spatially specific. In different tissues and stages of development, the expression of IncRNAs varies significantly. The abundance of IncRNA is the highest and the type of IncRNA is the largest in the brain [26]. LncRNA is involved in several neuron related processes such as neuronal differentiation, brain development, synaptic plasticity and neurodegenerative diseases [27]. In addition, IncRNA may act on AD via different ways. For example, BACE1-AS is an IncRNA as a result of transcription of BACE1 antisense strand and shows a high expression in the AD. This IncRNA cannot bind to the mRNA BACE1 to inhibit its activity, but blocks the binding site of miR-485-5p on the BACE1 mRNA, which hinders the regulation of miRNA on BACE1 [28]. IncRNA17A is mapped to the 3rd intron region of G protein-coupled receptor 51 (GRP51, GAGA B2) gene and can regulate the variable slicing of GRP51 to influence the GABA B signaling pathway, increase the transformation of Aβ42 and elevate its toxicity [29]. IncRNA BC200 selectively localizes in the synapses between neurons and is crucial for the maintenance of synaptic plasticity. Studies have shown that IncRNA BC200 localized around the nucleus in the brain of AD patients, and this spatial ectopia caused the loss of function in the maintenance of synaptic plasticity [30]. In this study, IncRNA microarray assay showed 249 differentially expressed IncRNAs, of which 99 showed down-regulation and 150 had up-regulation. Coexpression analysis of these IncRNAs and AD related genes revealed 4 important IncRNAs: Gm13498, DQ113493, AK038159 and 1700-030L20Rik. Further qPCR confirmed that the expressions of these IncRNAs were consistent with those from microarray assay. This indicated that these IncRNAs played regulatory roles in the progression of AD and provided clues for further investigation of target molecules in the pathogenesis of AD.

The study of Lu et al [31] showed the Rest expression reduced significantly in AD and its intracellular localization was also crucial. In healthy old mice, Rest is highly expressed, and fluorescence staining shows Rest is mainly expressed in the cytoplasm and nucleus evenly. However, in AD, Rest first disappears in the nucleus, then its expression reduces gradually, and finally the neuroprotection of Rest loses. In the coexpression network of IncRNAs and mRNAs, IncRNA Gm13498 and IncRNA 1700-030L20Rik had the widest relationship with other genes and were negatively related to Rest. It is indicated that IncRNA Gm13498 and
IncRNA 1700030L20Rik may bind to Rest protein to block its translocation into the nucleus, resulting in the loss of neuroprotective effect of Rest and the reduction in Rest expression. However, more studies are required to confirm these findings.
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