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Plasma microRNAs to predict the response of radiotherapy in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients
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Abstract: Although microRNAs (miRNAs) play an important role in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC), their roles in radiotherapy response remain unexplored. Our study aims to investigate whether plasma miRNAs can be used as predictors of radiotherapy outcome in ESCC patients. We selected nine miRNAs, which were reported to be associated with carcinogenesis or radiobiology of ESCC, as the targets of our study. Plasma miRNA expression was investigated with 24 subjects (pre-, at the first week and post-radiotherapy). Tumor radiographic response and 3-year overall survival were used to evaluate the response. The results showed that the level of miR-16 in the patients with good outcome was significantly higher than that in the patients with poor outcome (P < 0.05, AUC: 0.762) at the post-radiotherapy. We also found that the variation tendency of miRNA expression were related to its radiotherapy response. Moreover, miR-16 levels increased by more than 2-fold following treatment, which were shown to be associated with longer OS (log-rank P = 0.009). In conclusion, miR-16 has considerable clinical value in predicting radiotherapy outcomes for ESCC patients.
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Introduction
Esophageal carcinoma is the seventh most common malignant tumor in the world, the mortality of which occupies the sixth position among the malignant tumors worldwide [1], and its incidence rate has increased significantly in recent years [2], especially in China. The incidence and treatment rates of esophageal cancer will continue to increase substantially with the development of an aging population and the social economic development. As the dominant type of esophageal cancer in China, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) has a generally poor prognosis due to the lack of effective clinical methods for its response detection.

At present, radiotherapy is the main treatment for esophageal cancer and it is important for local tumor control. Radiotherapy combined with chemotherapy is the standard treatment model for locally advanced disease. A complete pathological response in patients with neoadjuvant chemoradiation treatment is superior to chemotherapy treatment alone [3]. Although the remaining treated patients can gain potential benefits from radiotherapy, they are subject to the risk of toxicity, complications after radiotherapy, and being delayed to other treatment options [4]. Consequently, the identification of biomarkers and molecular mechanisms of radioresistance may be critical to the stratification of treatment, which can enhance the efficacy of radiotherapy.
Radiotherapy induces a complex cellular response involving multiple pathways [5]. Apparently, microRNA (miRNA) must be involved in the regulation of radiation biology, such as cell cycle and DNA repair [6, 7]. It has been demonstrated in a number of cancers that miRNA plays a role in modulating the cellular response to radiation [8]. Although numerous studies have confirmed a role for miRNA in tumorigenesis, progression, and abnormal apoptosis of esophageal cancer [9, 10], the role of miRNA in the response to radiotherapy in ESCC is unknown.

MiRNAs are short, non-coding, endogenously expressed RNAs that play a key role in gene expression regulation by binding to target messenger RNAs and either degrading or blocking their translation. Their aberrant expression is common in malignancy and may be involved in disease initiation and progression [11]. As the key regulators of post-transcriptional regulation, miRNAs are associated with the cellular response to radiotherapy [12, 13]. In addition, recent studies have shown the existence of a large amount of highly stable miRNAs in human serum/plasma and it was found that circulating miRNA levels are altered in cancer and other diseases, although the origin and function of miRNAs in the blood remains poorly defined [14, 15].

Our study investigated plasma miRNAs to predict the outcome of radiotherapy in ESCC patients. In this paper, we presented the successful discovery of a circulating miRNA in discriminating the good outcome from the poor outcome in ESCC patients receiving radiotherapy, and the correlation between the dynamic changes of miRNA and the patient’s survival rate. This miRNA has potential clinical value on evaluating radiotherapy responses in ESCC patients.

Materials and methods

Clinical specimens and radiation treatment plan

The study population consisted of 24 ESCC patients who were recruited at Shanghai Sixth Hospitals from August 2009 to June 2013. The eligibility criteria for the selection subjects were as follows: 1. Age ≥ 18 years and ≤ 85 years; 2. Has ability to give informed consent; 3. Diagnosis must be supported by tissue and two image reports (ultrasound B, computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging). For selection of the samples in the ESCC patients, we used routine histological classification according to the World Health Organization Classification of tumor system [16]. All the lesions were diagnosed by two experienced pathologists and staged using TNM classification [17]. The 7th edition of American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system for esophageal cancer was used to evaluate the clinical staging of the diseases. The blood samples of the cancer patients were obtained from the three different radiation treatment periods. This study was approved by the local institutional review board and the written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

The therapeutic protocol for all the patients was about 32 sessions of radiotherapy, respectively. The patients were irradiated with a 6 MV X-ray linear accelerator (Artiste™ Solutions; Siemens, Germany). The mean dose of radiation was (60.2 ± 9.1) Gy, at 2 Gy per day administered 5 days per week. From the included patients, we collected blood from 24 patients in various stages of therapeutic intervention: before radiotherapy (ESCC-0), radiotherapy for a week (ESCC-1), and one day after the end of radiotherapy (ESCC-2).

Follow-up and evaluation of radiotherapy response

The patients were followed every 3 months after the radiotherapy. The clinical end point of this study was death or the end of the study period with a median follow-up period of 23 months (range: 4-40 months). Overall survival (OS) was defined as the period from the end of radiotherapy to death. All data including physical examination and computed tomography findings were collected from hospital records or by patient interviews.

Tumor radiographic responses were assessed from computed tomography scans both in the beginning and at the end of radiotherapy. The best response rate was defined as the greatest reduction in tumor size (%) in comparison with the initial size; otherwise, it would be based on tumor size stability or the least progression (%). Complete response (CR) was defined as the dis-
appearance of lesions. Partial response (PR) was defined as a 30% or greater reduction of the overall total sum of lesion diameter. Progressive disease (PD) was defined as an increase of at least 20% in the sum of the lesion diameter. Stable disease (SD) was defined as a tumor response not fulfilling the criteria for CR, PR and PD. When considering the radiologic responses based on the RECIST criteria version 1.1 and clinical response: no patient had a PD, 12.5% of patients (3/24) had a CR, 45.8% (11/24) had a PR, and 41.7% (10/24) had a SD.

The determination of candidate miRNAs

A large number of studies have confirmed that miRNA plays an important role in tumor incidence, outcome, and biological behavior of tumor radiation. Therefore, we selected nine miRNAs from the literature published for this research (miR-16, miR-21, miR-22, miR-126, miR-148b, miR-185, miR-221, miR-223 and miR-375). Functions of the above mentioned candidate miRNAs are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Functions</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Up-/down regulation</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>Functions as a phospho-Akt protein, downstream target of PTEN; Involved in cellular proliferation and invasion.</td>
<td>cell ESCC</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>radiosensitive</td>
<td>[18]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>serum ESCC</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>prognosis</td>
<td>[19]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tissue ESCC</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>diagnosis</td>
<td>[20, 21]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>plasma ESCC</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>diagnosis</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-16</td>
<td>Apoptosis; NFkB subunit IkB, Radio-related signal transduction pathways.</td>
<td>cell HepG2</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>radiosensitivity</td>
<td>[23, 24]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-126</td>
<td>PI3K-Akt pathway.</td>
<td>cell tissue NSCLC</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>radiosensitivity</td>
<td>[25]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-223</td>
<td>Cell cycle; Metastasis.</td>
<td>tissue ESCC</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>survival</td>
<td>[26]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tissue/cell ESCC</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>diagnostic</td>
<td>[27]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>serum ESCC</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>diagnostic</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-375</td>
<td>Inhibits tumour growth and metastasis.</td>
<td>tissue EAC</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>diagnosis and prognosis</td>
<td>[29]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tissue ESCC</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>prognosis</td>
<td>[30]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>plasma ESCC</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>diagnosis</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-22</td>
<td>Migration and invasion; Regulates hypoxia signaling; Activation of PTEN.</td>
<td>serum ESCC</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>diagnostic</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-148b</td>
<td>Inhibition of migration and invasion; Promoting radiation-induced apoptosis.</td>
<td>serum ESCC</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>diagnostic</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-185</td>
<td>HIF-1 may bind to HRE2 of miR185 and initiate its transcription.</td>
<td>cell NHL</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>radiosensitivity</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-221</td>
<td>Involved in PTEN/AKT pathway; Radio-related signal transduction pathways.</td>
<td>cell HEK 293</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>radiosensitivity</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cell GC</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>radiosensitivity</td>
<td>[34]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


appearance of lesions. Partial response (PR) was defined as a 30% or greater reduction of the overall total sum of lesion diameter. Progressive disease (PD) was defined as an increase of at least 20% in the sum of the lesion diameter. Stable disease (SD) was defined as a tumor response not fulfilling the criteria for CR, PR and PD. When considering the radiologic responses based on the RECIST criteria version 1.1 and clinical response: no patient had a PD, 12.5% of patients (3/24) had a CR, 45.8% (11/24) had a PR, and 41.7% (10/24) had a SD.

Plasma preparation and RNA isolation

For plasma preparation, 4 ml peripheral blood was drawn into EDTA tubes. Within 1 hour, the tubes were centrifuged at 820 g for 10 min. Next, 1 ml aliquots of the plasma were transferred to 1.5 ml tubes and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min to pellet any remaining cellular debris. Subsequently, the supernatant was transferred to fresh tubes and stored at -80°C. Total RNA of the plasma samples was extracted by mirVana PARIS miRNA Isolation kit according to the instructions from the manufacturer (Ambion, Austin, TX). The concentration was quantified by NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Waltham, MA). The mean concentration of triplicate measurements for each of the RNA samples was used to calculate the input total RNA for qRT-PCR [35].
For qRT-PCR, \( \Delta Ct \) value was used to represent individual miRNA expression levels. The \( \Delta Ct \) of the miRNAs was calculated by subtracting the Ct value of the endogenous control miR-1228. Mann-Whitney unpaired test was used to determine the difference of miRNA expression level between the various groups. The predicted probability of being diagnosed with ESCC was used as a surrogate marker to construct receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve [36]. Area under the ROC curve (AUC) was used as an accuracy index for evaluating the diagnostic performance of the selected miRNA [37]. OS was calculated as the time from the last date of radiotherapy to the date of death from any cause or to the last visit before Sep 30, 2014. Each variable, such as age, differentiation, metastasis, the dynamic changes of miRNA levels, etc., was assessed in a univariate analysis, and the variables that reached a \( P \) value < 0.05 were evaluated in a multivariate analysis. Survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier method and used the log-rank test. After testing for variable interactions, a forward stepwise elimination procedure was used to determine the best-fitting model using the proportional hazards model using Cox regression. \( P \) values < 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc (10.4.7.0) software (Mariakerke, Belgium).

Results

Patient characteristics

The clinical characteristics of the studied subjects were presented in Table 2. Of the 24 patients, 54\% (13/24) had non-metastatic disease (as evidenced by histologic analysis of surgically excised tumors), while the remaining 46\% (11/24) patients had vascular metastasis, lymph node metastasis, or other distant metastasis. Tumor location and differentiation were distributed more evenly. In clinical tumor staging, I-II stage and III-IV stage accounted for 54.2\% (13/24) and 45.8\% (11/24) respectively.

Comparison of miRNA expression of ESCC in different periods of radiotherapy

Each patient’s blood samples were obtained before the start of radiotherapy, at the first
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Figure 1. Changes tendency of candidate microRNA expression in different periods of radiotherapy treatment. A: The change tendency of miR-16, miR-21, miR-22, miR-126, miR-148b, miR-185, miR-221, miR-223 and miR-375 in the course of radiotherapy in good response group, respectively; B: The change tendency of the nine candidate targets in the course of radiotherapy in poor response group, respectively; Good response: complete response and partial response; Poor response: stable disease and progressive disease; ΔCt value was used to represent individual normalized Ct value. The ΔCt of the miRNA was calculated by subtracting the Ct value of the endogenous control miR-1228. ESCC-0, ESCC-1 and ESCC-2 represent three different periods of treatment (before, the first week, and the end of radiotherapy), respectively. Analysis was performed by Mann-Whitney unpaired test; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

week and at the end of radiotherapy, which was defined as the three subgroups (ESCC-0, ESCC-1 and ESCC-2). The expression profiles of the nine candidate miRNAs were evaluated with qRT-PCR on the 24 patients according to the three subgroups. According to the above criteria of short term tumor radiographic response evaluation, patients were divided into two groups at the end of the radiotherapy, the good outcome group and the poor outcome group. The good outcome group included CR and PR, while the poor outcome group included SD. We were surprised to find that the expression levels of all candidate miRNAs increased during the course of radiotherapy in the good outcome group (Figure 1A), while in the poor outcome group, the expression levels of the same miRNAs increased temporarily in the first week of radiotherapy but decreased quickly at the end of radiotherapy (Figure 1B). By comparing the miRNA expression levels of each subgroup in the good outcome group, we found that the expression levels of miR-16, miR-21, miR-126 and miR-185 significantly increased in the ESCC-2 subgroup, greater than that in ESCC-0 subgroup (P < 0.05), especially for miR-16 (P < 0.01) (Figure 1).

Differentially expression level of miRNA possibly predicting radiotherapy response

We further analyzed whether the plasma miRNA expression levels were associated with progno-
In each subgroup, the level of miRNAs was divided into the good and poor outcome groups. The analysis showed that the expression levels of the nine candidate miRNAs had no significant differences between ESCC-0 and ESCC-1 subgroups. However, the results showed that miR-16 had a significantly higher expression level in the good outcome group than that in the poor outcome group (P = 0.038, Fold change = 2.85) in ESCC-2 subgroups. The diagnostic accuracy of miR-16, measured by AUC, was 0.762 (95% CI, 0.540 to 0.913, Sensitivity = 92.9%, Specificity = 55.6%) (Figure 2). With the exception of miR-16, other candidates did not show any significant difference in expression level between groups (Fold change = 1.43-3.00, AUC = 0.548-0.714). The results were shown in Table 3.

**Correlation between the dynamic changes of miR-16 expression and OS in ESCC patients**

With a median follow-up of 40 months (range, 4-40 months), the 3-year OS of all the patients...
was 37%, and the median OS time was 23 months. We performed Kaplan-Meier analyses to assess the relationship between the dynamic changes of plasma miR-16 levels and clinical outcome in 24 ESCC patients. We evaluated the correlation between OS and the up-regulation of miR-16 at various points of radiotherapy. That is to say, we used the fold change of miR-16 up-regulation as the index to evaluate the effect of treatment response. The results showed that the patients whose miR-16 expression level had increased more than 2-fold (fold change: ESCC-2/ESCC-0 > 2) would experience significantly longer OS (HR = 3.875, 95% CI: 1.398-10.737, P = 0.009) (Figure 3).

Correlation of clinical factors with patient survival

A univariate analysis of clinical factors showed that tumor radiographic response after radiotherapy had significant associations with OS (P = 0.040), while other clinical did not show a good correlation with OS (Table 4), such as age, sex, differentiation, clinical stage, lesion length, metastasis, primary tumor location and radiotherapy dose. Clinical factors that were statistically significant (P < 0.05) in univariate analysis were analyzed further in a multivariate analysis with a stepwise selection of variables. Only patients who had tumor radiographic response after radiotherapy (P = 0.040) and ESCC-2/ESCC-0 up-regulated more than 2-fold (P = 0.009) were selected by a stepwise selection as factors in the final models. A multivariate analysis of these factors showed that miR-16 ESCC2/ESCC0 up-regulated more than 2-fold and tumor radiographic response after radiotherapy maintained their significance as independent prognostic factors for OS (HR = 0.263, 95% CI: 0.093-0.745, P = 0.012; and HR = 0.344, 95% CI: 0.125-0.947, P = 0.039, respectively).

Discussion

ESCC is a common cancer of the digestive system. The treatment of locally advanced esophageal carcinoma remains a great challenge. Radiotherapy is a good move for those patients who are unwilling to undergo surgery [38]. However, the prognosis for such patients is very poor, with 5-year survival rates of about 20% [39]. Radiosensitivity is thought to be a key factor that affects therapeutic efficacy. However, biomarkers for radiosensitivity are not yet available in the clinic. Identification of biomarkers for predicting radiation sensitization could provide a useful indicator for individual-specific radiotherapy of patients with esophageal cancer. Some studies found evidence from the perspective of clinical pathology and imaging diagnosis such as TNM staging system, histological grading, tumor location and tumor length, as well as depth of esophageal wall invasion [40, 41]. Other studies explored the possible radiotherapy biomarker in ESCC from the molecular level such as Dicer 1, DNA methyltransferase 1 (Dnmt1), and let-7b, etc. [42, 43]. But the prognosis for patients with advanced disease was still poor. We acknowledge that there were several limitations to this study, and it was these limitations which prevented proper prediction of the curative effect.

In our study, we were the first to analyze the dynamic expression of the nine miRNAs in the process of radiotherapy and found that miRNA expression patterns are related to its radiotherapy response. It suggests that the nine candidate genes may be involved in radiation sensitivity and it warrants further study on its mechanism. Moreover, our study reveals that plasma miR-16 is a potential circulating marker for radiotherapy response in ESCC. In the good response group, the results show that miR-16 expression levels increased continuously dur-

### Table 3. Evaluation the correlation between the miRNA levels and the treatment outcome (good response group vs. poor response group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-16</td>
<td>0.038*</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.540-0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-21</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.482-0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-126</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.490-0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-223</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.411-0.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-375</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.411-0.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-22</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.358-0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-148b</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.329-0.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-185</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.426-0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-221</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.343-0.767</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Good response: complete response and partial response; Poor response: stable disease and progressive disease; AUC: area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve; Analysis was performed by Mann-Whitney unpaired test; *P < 0.05.
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The course of therapy, and the expression level in the ESCC-2 subgroups was significantly higher than that in ESCC-0 subgroups. Simultaneously, miR-16 demonstrates high diagnostic accuracy (AUC 0.762, sensitivity 92.9% and specificity 55.6%) in distinguishing the good outcome patients from the poor outcome patients receiving radiotherapy. We have shown that the plasma levels of miR-16 can serve as a prognostic indicator of radiographic response in ESCC patients. We further queried whether the plasma level of miR-16 factored in the patients' response to different stages of radiotherapy. We divided the patients into three subgroups according to their therapeutic stage (ESCC-0, ESCC-1 and ESCC-2) and analyzed the dynamic changes of miR-16 level for each patient in different treatment period. The data showed that the therapeutic response in patients with miR-16 plasma levels up-regulated by more than 2-fold significantly prolonged the OS. Therefore, dynamic up-regulation of miR-16 levels is able to reverse the poor prognosis of ESCC patients with radiotherapy and significantly improve the ESCC patient survival.

MiR-16 has been identified to play a role in multiple pathways by regulating genes involved in different cancer types, including ESCC [44]. Evidence suggests that miR-16 can regulate the cell cycle, proliferation, apoptosis, and tumorigenicity both in vitro and in vivo [45]. These effects can be explained by the analysis of several targets of miR-16 such as Bcl-2 [23], cyclin D1, cyclin D3, cyclin E1, CDK6 and WNT3A [6, 46, 47]. Moreover, recent studies have confirmed that P-glycoprotein enhances radiation-induced apoptotic cell death through the regulation of miR-16 and Bcl-2 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma cells [23]. The recent research elucidates that miR-16 can enhance radiation sensitivity by regulating the TLR1/NF-κB signaling pathway and acts as a potential therapeutic approach to overcome radioresistance for lung cancer treatment [48]. In summary, miR-16 is a tumor suppressor gene can also be used as an optimal tool for ESCC radiotherapy prognosis.

At the serum or plasma level, more than 100 circulating miRNAs had been identified in the blood of healthy individuals [15] and their profiles significantly differed from that of ESCC patients. Compared to those studies of circulating miRNAs in diagnosing ESCC, very few studies are about the prognostic biomarkers of radiotherapy outcome in ESCC patients [43, 49]. Our study is unique for the following reasons: First, we retrieved a large number of correlations between plasma miRNAs and the biology of radiation by publication, which enabled us to have a better opportunity to identify potential diagnostic markers. Furthermore, because ionizing radiation has a direct effect on DNA replication, transcription and protein synthesis, so the miRNAs would be regulated widely in this process. In this study, we establish for the first time that the patterns of miRNA expression changes with the radiation process. In addition, we first confirmed that the miR-16 is a promising marker for ESCC radiotherapy prognosis, and also that dynamic miR-16 up-regulation indicates a longer OS in ESCC patients. MiR-16 levels reflect the satisfactory diagnostic performance in the detection of patients with successful treatment by radiotherapy. Using this miRNA for screening plasma in ESCC in the early stage of radiotherapy would improve...
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At the plasma level, several miRNAs were thought to be the best candidate for normalizing reference controls. However, those studies were limited due to a limited number of screened miRNAs, small sample size, an association between the reference control and disease studied, or the lack of validation by other studies [50]. One study investigated global circulating miRNA profiles to identify a stable endogenous control for quantifying circulating miRNAs using three cohorts (n = 544). The results showed miR-1228, as a housekeeping gene, presented as the most stable endogenous control across eight cancer types including the esophagus cancer. The results explained the steady expression of miR-1228 in the blood [50]. In summary, miR-1228 was selected as the stable endogenous control for quantifying circulating miRNAs in this study.

Although it has been reported that the expression levels of circulating miRNAs in the healthy human subjects are reproducibly consistent [14], many individuals in the ESCC group might still introduce a bias in the study outcome. The number of samples was too small to allow for a reasonable stratified analysis in our study. Therefore some clinical factors, such as differentiation, clinical stage, and metastasis, had no statistic difference. In this study, we just adopted both short-term imaging index at the end of radiotherapy and long-term follow-up in order to evaluate the treatment response. In fact, there was a good correlation between the short-term imaging index and the long-term follow-up. In future research, we would increase the number of patients and validate the plasma miRNA panel in several independent cohorts from multiple medical centers.

In conclusion, our study was the first to show the importance of the miR-16 expression profile in association with radiotherapy response. It demonstrated that miR-16 can be used as a predictive indicator of the efficacy of treatment. ESCC patients with a high expression of miR-16 would be more sensitive to radiotherapy and have better prognosis. Thus, patients who would have otherwise missed the curative treatment window can benefit from the optimal therapy.
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Table 4. Univariate analysis of prognostic factors of overall survival (n = 24)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HR†</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (&gt; 60 y vs. ≤ 60 y)</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.361-2.557</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male vs. female)</td>
<td>1.338</td>
<td>0.422-4.241</td>
<td>0.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation (well vs. moderate vs. poor)</td>
<td>1.7753</td>
<td>0.527-5.976</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage (I-II vs. III-IV)</td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td>0.502-3.513</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion length (&gt; 6 cm vs. ≤ 6 cm)</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.289-2.986</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis (yes vs. no)</td>
<td>1.562</td>
<td>0.583-4.187</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumor location (Upper vs. Middle vs. Lower)</td>
<td>1.293</td>
<td>0.347-4.814</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT dose (&gt; 65 Gy vs. ≤ 65 Gy)</td>
<td>2.081</td>
<td>0.767-5.642</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response after radiotherapy (Good response vs. Poor response)‡</td>
<td>3.203</td>
<td>1.052-9.751</td>
<td>0.040*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†HR: Hazard ratios; §Radiographic assessment when the end of radiotherapy. Good response: complete response and partial response; Poor response: stable disease and progressive disease; *P < 0.05.
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